

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,323	01/28/2004	Timothy Durnin	30004.01	5474
7590 08/25/2005			EXAMINER	
The Law Office of Steven G. Roeder			PASSANITI, SEBASTIANO	
5560 Chelsea Avenue La Jolla, CA 92037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2009	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		C. Ti				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/767,323	DURNIN, TIMOTHY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sebastiano Passaniti	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on see detailed Offie action.						
	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is responsive to communication received 01/28/2004 – application papers filed; 06/02/2005 – Status Inquiry.

Claims 1-60 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-52, drawn to a golf putter, classified in class 473, subclass 340.
- II. Claims 53-60, drawn to a method of manufacturing a putter head, classified in class 473, subclass 409.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Invention I deals with a golf putter. Typically, a
golf putter includes a handle, shaft and head. Invention II involves a putter head, only.

More specifically, Invention II deals with manufacturing a putter head, only. Thus, there
is no correlation between the product set forth in claims 1-52 of Invention I and the
method steps described by claims 53-60 of Invention II.

Even if one were to argue that Inventions I and II are "related" as product and process of making, a restriction would still be proper, since the product, as claimed, may be made by another and materially different method. In this case, method claim 53, for example, merely sets forth that the sole region is provided with first and second sole inserts. There are no further particulars provided as to the routine employed to actually manufacture the putter head. In other words, given that method claim 53 only requires that a first and second sole inserts are formed and positioned within first and second

sole regions, it is clear that the product, as claimed, may be made by another and materially different process. Here, the product, as claimed, may be made by fabricating a putter head main body using either casting or injection molding techniques, said body being formed of a material having a first specific gravity; forming a cavity in each of the heel, toe and sole regions of the main body; fixedly attaching a weight in each of the heel, toe and sole cavity, the material of each of the weights having a second specific gravity that is greater than the first specific gravity of the main body; attaching a shaft and handle assembly to the putter head to form a completed golf putter.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following four patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I:

Figures 1 through 2E;

Species II:

Figures 3A through 3E;

Species III:

Figures 4A through 4C;

Species IV:

Figures 5A through 5C.

If the applicant elects the product claims (claims 1-52), Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sebastiano Passaniti whose telephone number is 571-272-4413. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (6:30AM - 3:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sebastiano Passaniti Primary Examiner

S.Passaniti/sp August 19, 2005